## Request for Proposals for Administrative Service Provider

Attached is a copy of the County of Tyler's Request for Proposals for grant writing and administrative services. These services are being solicited to assist the County of Tyler in its application and administration of a contract, if awarded, from the <u>2021-2022 Texas Community Development Fund</u> of the Texas Community Development Block Grant Program of the Texas Department of Agriculture – Office of Rural Affairs (TDA). The County of Tyler will be applying for such funding to support public infrastructure improvements in the County of Tyler.

The submission requirements for this proposal are also included on the attached Request for Proposals (RFP) form. Firms and/or individuals should have past experience with federally funded programs. Please submit a proposal of services and statement of qualifications to:

Maegan Odom
Assistant Auditor
507 N. Pine St.
Woodville, TX 75979
Email a digital copy to: modom.aud@co.tyler.tx.us

The deadline for submission of proposals is <u>10:00 AM March 25, 2021</u>. Please send the County two (2) physical copies and one electronic copy of your proposal. The County of Tyler reserves the right to negotiate with any and all persons or firms submitting proposals, per the Texas Professional Services Procurement Act and the Uniform Grant and Contract Management Standards.

Along with your proposal, you must also include verification that your company as well as the company's principal or principals are not listed (is not debarred) through the federal government's System for Award Management (www.SAM.gov). Please include a printout of the search results.

The County of Tyler is an Affirmative Action/Equal Opportunity Employer.

## Texas Community Development Block Grant Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative Services Request for Project-Specific Proposal

Applicant Community:						
Name of Firm Solicited:						
Firm Address:						
Date Phase 2 Solicitation Sent:			Date Respo	nse Due:		
Description of Anticipated Project:						
Anticipated Scope of Work: (check services requested)	<ul> <li>✓ Application Preparation Services</li> <li>✓ Basic Contract Implementation Services</li> <li>✓ Assistance for Acquisition of Real Property</li> <li>✓ Compliance with federal Labor Standards (for construction contracts)</li> <li>✓ Section 106 compliance</li> <li>✓ Other</li> </ul>					
						1
Evaluation Criteria (provide for each):	C	Criteria		Maximum Relative Ir		Not Used
	Experience	Criteria				
(provide for each): • the maximum number of			ee		nportance	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor Importance; or</li> </ul>	Experience	erformano			mportance 30	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor</li> </ul>	Experience Prior Work Pe	erformano o Perform	1		30 30	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor Importance; or</li> <li>other indication of the relative importance of the</li> </ul>	Experience Prior Work Pe CapaCounty to Proposed Cost	erformano o Perform	1		30 30 20	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor Importance; or</li> <li>other indication of the relative importance of the</li> </ul>	Experience Prior Work Pe CapaCounty to Proposed Cost Other	erformano o Perform	1		30 30 20	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor Importance; or</li> <li>other indication of the relative importance of the criteria</li> </ul>	Experience Prior Work Pe CapaCounty to Proposed Cost Other Other	erformano o Perform	1		30 30 20	
<ul> <li>(provide for each):</li> <li>the maximum number of points;</li> <li>categories such as Very Important, Somewhat Important, or Minor Importance; or</li> <li>other indication of the relative importance of the</li> </ul>	Experience Prior Work Pe CapaCounty to Proposed Cost Other	erformano o Perform	1		30 30 20	

## Texas Community Development Block Grant Phase Two Solicitation for Administrative Services Evaluation of Proposals

Applicant Community:							
Evaluation Team:	Naı	me of 1	Evalua	tor			Title
(at least three persons required,							
including one local official)							
,							
Description of Anticipated							
Project:							
Date Solicitation Sent:							
Responses received:	No	me of l	Finm				Date Response Received
Responses received.	Nai	ine or i					Date Response Received
Evaluation of Proposals:	Ent	er for e	each cr	iteri	on ai	nd p	roposal:
	•	Poin <sup>t</sup>	ts awar	·ded	(if so	orir	ng was used on Form P506), or
	<ul> <li>Evaluation such as Highly Advantageous (H), Advantageous</li> </ul>						
	•						
		(A), I	NOI AU	vani	ageo	ius (.	N), or Unacceptable (U).
27 (77)	<u> </u>	1		1	1	ı	
Name of Firm			CapaCounty to Perform	<u> </u>			Notes
	a)	Prior Work Performance	ty	Cost			
	Experience	Prior Work Performanc	un	р (			
	ie	W	7.01 IIII	se			
	peı	or fo	oa( fo	obc	ıer		
	EX	Pri Peı	Caj Pei	Proposed	Other	Other	
			(				
Eine Docommondod.							
Firm Recommended:	<u> </u>						
Firm Selected:	* ***	7' Q I	. 1 1:00		ъ.		
	* It I	ırm Sele	cted diffe	ers tro	m Firi		ommended by Evaluators, provide explanation
Conflict of Interest Evaluated by:							No conflict $\Box$ Request for waiver
	<u> </u>						exists submitted to TDA
Date Awarded by Governing Body:							
Signature of Lead Evaluator:							

## **Administration/Professional Services Rating Sheet**

Grant R	Recipient	TxCI	DBG Contract No	
Name of Respondent Date		of Rating		
Evaluat	tor's Name			
maximu be gathe	m listed for each factor.	ent of the Request For Proposal (RFP) by Information necessary to assess the Re perience with the Respondent and/or by c	spondent on thes	e criteria may
Experie	nce			
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
1.	Related Experience / Ba	ckground with federally funded projects	10	
2.	•	ckground with specific project type acquisition of property, coordination with	5	
4.	Certified Administrator o	f TxCDBG Program by TDA	5	-
5.	References from current	/past clients	10	
		Subtotal, Experience	30	-
Work Pe	erformance			-
	Factors	Max.Pts.	Score	
1.	Submits requests to clie	nt/TDA in a timely manner	5	
2.	Responds to client/TDA	requests in a timely manner	5	
3.	Past client/TDA projects	completed on schedule	5	-
4.	Work product is consiste	5	-	
5.	Past client/TDA projects findings/concerns	5		
6.			5	
		Subtotal, Performance	30	<u> </u>
CanaCo	ounty to Perform	,		
	Factors		Max.Pts.	Score
1.	Qualifications of Professional Administrators / Experience of Staff		5	
2.	Present and Projected Workloads		5	
3.	Quality of Proposal/Work Plan		5	
4.	Demonstrated understar	nding of scope of the TxCDBG Project	5	-
		Subtotal, CapaCounty to Perform	20	
Propose	ed Cost	•		
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	Score
	A = Lowest Proposal	\$		
	B = Bidder's Proposal	\$		
		A ÷ B X 20 equals Respondent's Score	20	
TOTAL	SCORE			
	<u>Factors</u>		Max.Pts.	<u>Score</u>
	Experience		30	
	Work Performance		30	
	CapaCounty to Perform		20	
	Proposed Cost		20	
		Total Score	100	